### Effect of highly dispersible zirconia nanoparticles on the properties of UV-curable poly(urethane-acrylate) coatings

Kun Xu · Shuxue Zhou · Limin Wu

Received: 17 August 2008/Accepted: 29 December 2008/Published online: 27 January 2009 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2009

Abstract Highly crystalline and dispersible zirconia nanoparticles, ex situ synthesized from a solvothermal reaction of zirconium(IV) isopropoxide isopropanol complex in benzyl alcohol, were functionalized with 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate and blended with UV-curable urethane-acrylate formulations to fabricate poly(urethane-acrylate)/zirconia (PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub>) nanocomposite coatings. A critical ZrO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 20 wt% was observed for the evolutions of both the structure and properties of the nanocomposites as a function of ZrO<sub>2</sub> content. Below the critical concentration, completely transparent nanocomposite film was obtained and the nanocomposites exhibited increasing final carbon-carbon double bond conversion, refractive index, hardness, elastic modulus, and thermal stability as ZrO<sub>2</sub> content increased. However, serious agglomeration of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles occurred at 25 wt% of ZrO2, which decreased final conversion, transparency and hardness, and thermal stability of the nanocomposite film. These results clearly reveal that the performance of UV-curable nanocomposites is strongly dependent on the dispersion of nanoparticles.

### Introduction

Polymer-based nanocomposites have drawn considerable attention in recent years because of their prominent

K. Xu  $\cdot$  S. Zhou ( $\boxtimes$ )  $\cdot$  L. Wu

Department of Materials Science and Advanced Coatings Research Center of China Educational Ministry, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, People's Republic of China e-mail: zhoushuxue@fudan.edu.cn mechanical, optical, electrical, thermal properties, and so on [1-3]. These nanocomposites can be fabricated by blending or a sol-gel process, especially, the blending method would be preferred due to its simple and feasible industrialization. However, how to prepare transparent nanocomposite particularly with high nanofiller load using blending technique still remains a great challenge, which lies in the difficult de-aggregation of commercial nanopowder and inherently poor miscibility between polymer and inorganic nanoparticles.

Up to now, various types of oxide nanoparticles such as silica [4–6], titania [7], alumina [8], zinc oxide [9], and ITO [10], have been introduced into polymer matrix by multifarious blending processes to understand their influences on the hardness, strength, abrasion resistance, scratch resistance, and weatherability of the polymer or to endow them with new functionalities, i.e., UV-blocking, antibacterial, and electric conductive properties. But zirconia nanoparticles are seldom involved. Zhou et al. [11] ever employed zirconia nanopowder to reduce the thermal expansion coefficient of poly(ether-sulfone) for meeting its application in the connector of optical fiber. Recently, Lee et al. [12] prepared transparent ZrO<sub>2</sub>-polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) nanocomposites by dispersing ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in a PDMS matrix via ligand molecule engineering. A high refractive index of 1.65 was reached at 20.8 vol.% of ZrO<sub>2</sub> content. However, the other properties of the nanocomposites were not concerned.

In this article, a kind of highly crystalline  $ZrO_2$  nanoparticles, synthesized from a solvothermal reaction of zirconium(IV) isopropoxide isopropanol complex in benzyl alcohol [13], is employed to fabricate nanocomposite coatings with high performances. These nonaqueous synthesized  $ZrO_2$  nanoparticles are highly dispersible in organic media with the aid of ligands, as described in our previous publication [14], and have been successfully utilized to fabricate photopolymerized nanocomposites for volume holographic gratings with the highest refractive index contrast (n1 of up to 0.024) [13] as well as for scratch resistant coatings for polycarbonate [15]. However, completely transparent nanocomposite coatings were achieved only below 10 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub> when the ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles were functionalized with 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate (MPS) and blended with pure polyurethane (PU) oligomer. Herein, the PU oligomer was replaced by a composition composing of PU oligomer, isobornyl acrylate, and 1,6-hexanediol diacrylate (HDDA), based on a weight ratio of 52.5:17.5:30. Completely transparent UVcured poly(urethane-acrylate) (PUA)/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite films with as high as 20 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub> were obtained. The final carbon-carbon double bond conversion, the optical and mechanical properties as well as the thermal stability of the nanocomposites as a function of ZrO<sub>2</sub> load were comprehensively investigated.

### Experimental

### Materials

Zirconium isopropoxide isopropanol complex (99.9%) and anhydrous benzyl alcohol (99%) were purchased from Aldrich and MPS from Sigma. Aliphatic urethane diacrylate (6148J-75, composing of 75 wt% of PU oligomer and 25 wt% of isobornyl acrylate) was kindly offered by Eternal Chemical Corp., Ltd. (China). HDDA and 1-hydroxycyclohexyl-phenyl-ketone (Iragure 184) were got from Shanghai Baorun Chemical Corp., Ltd. (China). Tetrahydrofuran (THF,  $\geq$ 99.5%), absolute ethanol ( $\geq$ 99.7), and methanol ( $\geq$ 99.9%) were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Corp. All chemicals were used as received.

Synthesis of highly crystalline zirconia nanoparticles

 $ZrO_2$  nanocrystals were synthesized according to the procedure described elsewhere [13, 14, 16]. That is, 3.33 g of zirconium(IV) isopropoxide isopropanol complex and 50 mL of benzyl alcohol were charged into a 100-mL Teflon liner. Subsequently, the Teflon liner was slide into a stainless steel autoclave and carefully sealed. The autoclave was put into an oven at a temperature of 240 °C to carry out the reaction. After 4 days, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool down, and a white turbid suspension was obtained. The product was cubic ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocrystal with monodisperse particle size of 3.8 nm and 9.2 g/100 g ZrO<sub>2</sub> of organic component was inherently chemically adsorbed on the as-synthesized ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles [17]. Preparation of MPS-functionalized zirconia nanoparticles dispersions

The as-synthesized ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles suspension in benzyl alcohol (solid content: 33 mg/g) was centrifuged to remove the benzyl alcohol and then washed with absolute ethanol three times. MPS and THF were then mixed with the wet  $ZrO_2$  nanoparticles according to the molar ratios of MPS to as-synthesized ZrO<sub>2</sub> (0.05–0.20:1) and 1.2 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub>. The mixture was sonicated at room temperature for 30 min and then heated at 60 °C for 24 h, followed by centrifugation at 8000 rpm for 10 min to remove the possible  $ZrO_2$ aggregates. The obtained transparent ZrO<sub>2</sub>/THF dispersions were concentrated to about 10 wt% of solid content using a rotary evaporator. Obviously, these dispersions contained a certain amount of free MPS molecules. A MPS-functionalized ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles dispersion without free MPS molecules was further fabricated for the case at 0.20:1 of MPS-to-ZrO<sub>2</sub> molar ratio. Namely, the corresponding free MPS-containing ZrO<sub>2</sub> dispersion was poured with fivefold volume of methanol, and then centrifuged to get the MPSfunctionalized ZrO2 nanoparticles. These MPS-functionalized ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles were further washed with methanol for two times to remove free MPS molecules. Thermogravimetric analysis indicated the MPS-functionalized ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles had 9% of weight loss more than the assynthesized ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the range of 170-750 °C, meaning that the number of C=C double bond attached to each ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle (size: 3.8 nm) is 29.2-34.0 (assuming that MPS was bonded in terms of  $T^2$  and  $T^3$ structure). The wet MPS-functionalized ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles were dispersed in THF again to form a MPS-functionalized ZrO<sub>2</sub>/THF dispersion with 15 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub> concentration.

Preparation of PUA/ZrO2 nanocomposite coatings

Aliphatic urethane diacrylate (6148J-75) and HDDA were mixed first based on the weight ratio of 7:3 to compromise the toughness and hardness, which was acted as pure PUA mixture in this work. The PUA mixture was further blended with a certain amount of MPS-functionalized ZrO<sub>2</sub>/ THF dispersion by stirring, and evaporated under vacuum at 50 °C for 5 h to remove all THF. Afterward, 5 wt% of Iragure 184 (based on the weight of pure PUA mixture) was added to get a UV-curable coating. The coating was spin-coated on silicon wafer at a spinning speed of 3000 rpm for 1 min or cast on glass slides using a wirewounded applicator, and then exposed to a UV lamp (power: 2 kW, maximal peak: 365 nm) for curing. The average thickness of the UV-cured coating on glass substrate was determined by a thickness gauge (Shanghai No. 4 Chemical Mechanics Factory, China).

#### Characterization

The photopolymerization kinetics was determined by realtime Fourier transformed infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (Nicolet 5700, Thermo Electron, USA). The liquid formulation was cast onto a silicon wafer and then exposed to a visible spot light source (10 mw/cm<sup>2</sup>, EFOS Lite, Canada) at room temperature. A horizontal transmission accessory was designed to enable mounting of the sample in a horizontal orientation. The carbon–carbon double bond conversion was determined from the change of the peak at 6160 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

High resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) was performed on a JEM-2010F microscope (JEOL Ltd., Japan), operated at 200 keV. Samples were prepared by ultramicrotomy at room temperature, giving nearly 100 nm thick sections. No further staining was used to improve the contrast. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was performed on SPA 300HV-DFM (Seiko Instruments Industry Corp., Japan) with a SPI3800 probe station under a tapping mode at room temperature.

The ultraviolet-visible (UV–vis) spectrum of the UVcured PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> film (about 100  $\mu$ m in thickness) was scanned with a UV–vis spectrophotometer (UV-1800, Shanghai Mei-Pu-Da Instrument Corp., Ltd., China). The refractive index of the UV-cured PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> coating was measured by a variable angle ellipsometer (UVISEL, Horiba Jobin Yvon Corp., France) and with a fixed wavelength of 633 nm.

Nanoindentation tests were performed on a Tribo Indenter (Hysitron Corporation, USA) using a three-side pyramid diamond indenter. Loading and unloading rates were set as 10 nm/s and holding time as 5 s. Before each indentation measurement, the tip was calibrated with a standard quartz (reduced elastic modulus 69.6 GPa). Unloading curves were used to analyze the elastic modulus and the hardness of the samples.

Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were carried out on Perkin Elmer TGA-7 (USA) at a heating rate of 10 K/min in air.

### **Results and discussion**

Fabrication of PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite coatings

In our work, PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite coatings were prepared by blending monomers with the prefabricated transparent MPS/ZrO<sub>2</sub> dispersion. Our previous research has already demonstrated that the amount of MPS used is critical for the fabrication of PU/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite coatings, which strongly determine the phase separation of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the coatings and hence the transparency of the nanocomposite coatings [15]. Herein, the



Fig. 1 Effect of molar ratio of MPS/ZrO<sub>2</sub> on the transparency of ZrO<sub>2</sub> dispersion and the precipitates produced at 8000 rpm of centrifugation

effect of the molar ratio of MPS/ $ZrO_2$  on the preparation of  $ZrO_2$ /THF dispersions and the transparency of the new PUA/ $ZrO_2$  nanocomposite coatings were also investigated.

Within the range of 0.05:1-0.20:1 of MPS-to-ZrO<sub>2</sub> molar ratio, the effect of MPS-to-ZrO<sub>2</sub> molar ratio on the transparency of ZrO<sub>2</sub>/THF dispersions could not be distinguished with naked eyes, but it could be revealed from the transmission at wavelength of 550 nm as illustrated in Fig. 1. Relatively lower transparency of ZrO<sub>2</sub>/THF dispersion was acquired at lower molar ratio of MPS/ZrO<sub>2</sub>, indicating the existence of ZrO<sub>2</sub> aggregates. To eliminate the influence of ZrO<sub>2</sub> aggregates, all ZrO<sub>2</sub>/THF dispersions were centrifuged at 8000 rpm. The amount of precipitates produced by centrifugation is also presented in Fig. 1. Precipitates <8% (based on the total weight of ZrO<sub>2</sub>) nanoparticles) were obtained even when the molar ratio of MPS/ZrO<sub>2</sub> was reduced to 0.05:1, suggesting that most of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles were successfully de-agglomerated. Moreover, the quantity of the precipitates decreased as the molar ratio of MPS/ZrO2 increased, confirming that the low transparency of the ZrO<sub>2</sub>/THF dispersion is actually caused by the ZrO<sub>2</sub> aggregates.

The ZrO<sub>2</sub>/THF dispersions without ZrO<sub>2</sub> aggregates were employed to fabricate PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite coatings. Figure 2 gives the transparency of the nanocomposite coatings as a function of ZrO<sub>2</sub> load and molar ratio of MPS/ZrO<sub>2</sub>. At low ZrO<sub>2</sub> content, the transparency of the nanocomposite coatings is not influenced by addition of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles, in comparison with pure PUA coating. However, it quickly declines with increasing ZrO<sub>2</sub> load when the ZrO<sub>2</sub> load is beyond a certain value, which should be attributed to the phase separation of MPS-functionalized ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. It means that a critical ZrO<sub>2</sub> load exists for the fabrication of transparent PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite coatings. Figure 2 also shows that the critical ZrO<sub>2</sub> load is about 20 wt% at



Fig. 2 Effect of molar ratio of MPS/ZrO<sub>2</sub> on the transparency of nanocomposite coatings at wavelength of 550 nm

MPS-to- $ZrO_2$  molar ratio of 0.15:1 and 0.20:1 and raises to about 30 wt% at MPS-to- $ZrO_2$  molar ratio of 0.05:1. Beyond the critical  $ZrO_2$  load, the transparency of the nanocomposite coatings decreases as the molar ratio of MPS/ $ZrO_2$  increases. These facts are the same as those observed in PU/ $ZrO_2$ nanocomposite coatings [15]. That is, the higher the amount of MPS attached to  $ZrO_2$  nanoparticles is, the lower the transparency of the nanocomposite coating is. However, the new PUA/ $ZrO_2$  nanocomposite coating has higher critical  $ZrO_2$  load than PU/ $ZrO_2$  coating, suggesting that addition of active monomers with low molecular weight, such as HDDA, isobornyl acrylate, facilitates for the preparation of transparent nanocomposite coatings with high  $ZrO_2$  load.

Although transparent PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite coating with higher ZrO<sub>2</sub> load can be obtained at lower molar ratio of MPS/ZrO<sub>2</sub>, a high MPS-to-ZrO<sub>2</sub> molar ratio, 0.20:1, was chosen in the following studies to assure the de-agglomeration of more ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles and the redispersibility of the MPS-functionalized ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. In addition, free MPS molecules were removed to avoid their unexpected influence on the photopolymerization and the properties of nanocomposite coatings.

# Effect of MPS-functionalized zirconia nanoparticles on photopolymerization kinetics

The photopolymerization kinetics was monitored by realtime FTIR. The conversion curves as a function of irradiation time for PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite coatings as well as pure PUA coating are plotted in Fig. 3. At ZrO<sub>2</sub> content below 20 wt%, the PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite coatings, especially with 5, 10, and 20 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub> contents, have higher photopolymerization rate than pure PUA coating. Similar phenomenon was also observed



Fig. 3 Photopolymerization profiles of  $PUA/ZrO_2$  nanocomposite coatings with different MPS-ZrO<sub>2</sub> loads (10 mw/cm<sup>2</sup>, air, 5 wt% Iragure 184 based on the weight of PUA coating)

in UV-curable polyacrylate/MPS-modified silica nanocomposites at silica content <10 wt% reported by Li et al. [18]. It could be explained by the immobilization of C=C bond on the surface of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. Once these immobilized C=C bonds are initiated in radical polymerization, they are difficult to be deactivated especially via bimacromolecular termination due to their restricted diffusion. As a result of the low termination rate, relatively high photopolymerization rate is acquired finally. The higher curing rate for the coatings with immobilized C=C bonds over with free C=C double bonds has been demonstrated in UV-curable polyacrylate/clay nanocomposites by Owusu-Adom et al. [19]. However, Fig. 3 shows that the polymerization rate of nanocomposite coating considerably decreases and the time to reach polymerization equilibrium is delayed to >50 s (<20 s for other samples) at 25 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub> content. Obviously, the opacity of the sample (revealed from its UV-vis spectrum below), and hence the low irradiation intensity attained, are responsible for the low curing rate.

In addition, Fig. 3 indicates that the final conversion steadily increases from 80.5%, 81.7%, 84.1%, 87.5% to 91.3% as  $ZrO_2$  content increases from 0, 5, 10, 15 to 20 wt%, whereas it declines to 68.0% at 25 wt% of  $ZrO_2$ . The limited C=C bond conversion is attributed to a vitrification effect during photopolymerization. In our system, the MPS-functionalized  $ZrO_2$  nanoparticles could be regarded as multifunctional monomer. Usually, higher functionality of monomer results in earlier occurrence of vitrification effect and consequently lower final conversion due to the higher cross-linking efficiency. But the coatings studied herein are apparently opposite to this trend. Cho et al. [20] ever reported that limited conversion increased when hydrophilic silica nanoparticles were added into HDDA. They attributed it to the synergistic effect of silica

nanoparticles as an effective flow or diffusion-aid agent during the photopolymerization process and as a contributor toward lengthening the path length of UV light by partial scattering or reflection. Nevertheless, in our opinion, the effective flow or diffusion-aid role of nanoparticles seem to be preposterous because embedding of nanoparticles in coatings generally leads to an increasing viscosity. Additionally, lengthening the path length of UV light is also impossible in our systems since the ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles have an absolutely small size of  $\sim 3.8$  nm and, more importantly, they are homogeneously dispersed on primary particle size level (revealing from their TEM images below). With this dispersing state, scattering or reflection of UV light happens with little probability. Therefore, it infers that the increased limited conversion of PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite coatings may be caused by the reduced oxygen inhibition because incorporation of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles can enhance the barrier property of the coating. The lower final conversion at 25 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub> content should be induced by two aspects: the lower polymerization rate and thus the more serious oxygen inhibition, resulting from the shielding effect of ZrO<sub>2</sub> aggregates, and

Fig. 4 TEM images of PUA/ ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite films with ZrO<sub>2</sub> content of a 5 wt%, b 10 wt%, c 20 wt%, and d 25 wt% the encapsulation of the immobilized C=C bonds into  $ZrO_2$  aggregates.

### Morphology of PUA/ZrO2 nanocomposite coatings

Within the range of ZrO<sub>2</sub> content investigated, all PUA/ ZrO<sub>2</sub> mixtures exhibited transparent and clear appearance when the MPS-functionalized ZrO<sub>2</sub>/THF dispersion was just incorporated into PUA mixture. However, after undergoing vacuum-evaporation to remove THF, the sample containing 25 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub> transformed into opaque, suggesting the phase separation happened. The dispersion of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in UV-cured film was examined by TEM, as shown in Fig. 4. The images clearly display increasing numbers of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles with increasing ZrO<sub>2</sub> content in nanocomposite films. For the samples with 5 and 10 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 4a and b), the nanoparticles are homogeneously dispersed in the films, no significant macroscopic agglomerates are observed. As ZrO<sub>2</sub> content was increased up to 20 wt%, the ZrO<sub>2</sub> agglomerates were inclined to germinate (see the circled region in Fig. 4c). Dense aggregates with size of



approximate 100 nm were clearly seen in the nanocomposite film with 25 wt% of  $ZrO_2$  (Fig. 4d). So, 20 wt% of  $ZrO_2$  content seems to be the critical concentration for serious phase separation, which is much higher than the value (critical concentration: 10 wt%) for the case only using pure PU oligomer as organic precursor [15]. This result suggests that the existence of isobornyl acrylate and HDDA is favorable for the compatibility of MPS-functionalized  $ZrO_2$  nanoparticles with organic phase.

The surface morphology of PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite coatings was probed by AFM technique. Figure 5 typically presents the 2D and 3D images of pure PUA film and PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite film with 20 wt% ZrO<sub>2</sub>. Both two films show rather smooth surfaces. Quantitative calculation indicates that the surface roughness of PUA film slightly increases from 2.14 to 3.12 nm when 20 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub> is incorporated, suggesting the less influence of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on the surface roughness of PUA film. The low surface roughness of nanocomposite film should be attributed to the homogenous dispersion and small size of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles, as discussed above.

Optical properties of PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite films

The UV-vis transmission spectra of PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite films are shown in Fig. 6. In comparison with pure PUA film, the transparency of PU/AZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite films was slightly reduced even at ZrO2 content up to 20 wt%, but markedly decreased at 25 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub>, being analogous to the nanocomposite coatings prepared with free MPS-containing ZrO<sub>2</sub>/THF dispersion. The high transparency is attributed to the homogeneous dispersion and small size of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles while the opacity is resulted from the serious phase separation of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles, as revealed from TEM observation. Therefore, similar to the phase separation, 20 wt% is the critical  $ZrO_2$ content for fabrication of transparent nanocomposite film, which is also higher than that (i.e., 10 wt%) we reported before [15]. The high critical  $ZrO_2$  content would be favorable because it means that we can modulate the properties of nanocomposite in a wide range of nanoparticle content without sacrificing the original transparency of polymer film.



**Fig. 5 a** 2D AFM images of pure PUA film, **b** 3D AFM images of pure PUA film, **c** 2D AFM images of PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite film with 20 wt% ZrO<sub>2</sub>, and **d** 3D AFM images of PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite film with 20 wt% ZrO<sub>2</sub>



Fig. 6 UV-vis transmission spectra of  $PUA/ZrO_2$  nanocomposite with various  $ZrO_2$  contents



Fig. 7 Refractive indices of PUA/ZrO2 nanocomposite films with increasing  $ZrO_2$  content

The refractive index of PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite films as a function of  $ZrO_2$  content is shown in Fig. 7. It clearly indicates that the refractive index linearly increases with increasing  $ZrO_2$  content, which is differ from the slightly polynomial relationship ever observed for PU/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite coatings [15]. The polynomial relationship reported previously may be due to the existence of free MPS molecules which leads to a composition deviation of the organic phase at different ZrO<sub>2</sub> contents. Figure 7 also reveals that a high refractive index of 1.625 is reached at 20 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub> content (~6.3 vol.%). Considering the refractive index of 1.475 for pristine PUA film, the efficiency of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles for adjusting the refractive index of PUA film is 0.0075 for 1 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub> (namely, 0.0238 for 1 vol.% of ZrO<sub>2</sub>). This value is approximately twofold of the data reported by Lee et al. [12] wherein an increment of refractive index from 1.39 to 1.65 was obtained after 20.8 vol.% of  $ZrO_2$  was embedded into PDMS, corresponding to 0.0125 for 1 vol.% of  $ZrO_2$ . The high efficiency of nonaqueous synthesized  $ZrO_2$  nanoparticles adopted here may be resulted from its highly crystalline and dense structure. The most efficient, however, quite suspectable, enhancement of refractive index via introduction of zirconia component was reported by Sangermano et al. [21] wherein introduction of 5 wt% zirconium tetrapropoxide (namely, 1.88 wt% of  $ZrO_2$ ) into poly(trimethylolproapane triglycidyl ether) induced an increase of refractive index from 1.477 to 1.580.

## Mechanical properties of PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite films

Nanoindentation test was employed to study the  $ZrO_2$  loaddependence of the mechanical properties of PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposites. Figure 8 displays the typical load–displacement curves at a peak indentation load of 5 mN on the PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite. A considerable amount of creep strain at the peak load is observed for all samples. Nevertheless, PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite film with 20 wt% has the lowest creep strain, consisting with the fact that this sample possesses the highest cross-linking degree revealed from RTIR results. The displacement depth after holding segment is adopted to calculate the hardness (*H*) and elastic modulus (*E*) according to the following equations:

$$H = \frac{P_{\max}}{A} \tag{1}$$

$$S = 2\beta \sqrt{\frac{A}{\pi}} E_{\rm r} \tag{2}$$

where  $P_{\text{max}}$  is the maximum load (i.e., 5 mN) and A is the projected contact area; S is the contact stiffness of the material, which is defined as the initial load–displacement slope of the unloading curve;  $\beta$  is a constant depending on the geometry of the indenter, and  $E_r$  is the reduced elastic modulus based on the following relationship:

$$\frac{1}{E_{\rm r}} = \frac{1 - v^2}{E} + \frac{1 - v_{\rm i}^2}{E_{\rm i}}$$
(3)

where  $E_i$  (1140 GPa) and  $v_i$  (0.07) are the elastic modulus and Poisson's ratio of the diamond indenter, respectively, and *E* and *v* are the elastic modulus and Poisson's ratio of the sample, respectively.

The results are shown in Fig. 9. It can be seen from the figure that both the hardness and elastic modulus increase with increasing  $ZrO_2$  content up to 20 wt%, demonstrating the reinforcing role of  $ZrO_2$  nanoparticles, especially the sample containing 20 wt% of  $ZrO_2$  has an increase of about 82% on hardness compared with neat PUA. However, the hardness of nanocomposite film yields a considerable



Fig. 8 Load–displacement profiles of pure PUA and PUA/ZrO $_2$  nanocomposites with increasing ZrO $_2$  content



Fig. 9 Effect of ZrO<sub>2</sub> load on the modulus and hardness of PUA film

decrease at 25 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub>, distinctly indicating the deterioration of the mechanical properties by  $ZrO_2$  agglomeration. For photo-polymerizable formulation, this agglomeration not only decreases the interaction between nanoparticles and polymer matrix, but also leads to a reduction of final C=C bond conversion. Therefore, homogeneous dispersion of nanoparticles is extraordinarily critical to successful fabrication of a UV-curable nano-composite with high performances.

Thermal properties of PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite coatings

Figure 10 illustrates the TGA curves of PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite with various  $ZrO_2$  contents. The numbers and range of weight loss stage are quite different for these samples. Pure PUA film has two distinguished weight loss stages: 260–414 °C and 414–536 °C. The former may be due to the cleavages of branch group and urethane bonds and the latter probably due to the decomposition of carbon



Fig. 10 TGA curves of PUA/ZrO $_2$  nanocomposite with increasing ZrO $_2$  content

main chains. The nanocomposite with 5 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub> also exhibits a small weight loss stage at 225-325 °C and a main weight loss stage at 325-468 °C. The decomposition at low temperature is close to pure PUA film, which may presumably be attributed to the degradation of the PUA chains residing far from ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. Whereas the main weight loss stage is similar to the nanocomposites with 10 and 20 wt%, and thus could be deduced as the degradation of the restrained polymer chains by nanoparticles. The other three samples have one weight loss stage. However, the nanocomposite with 25 wt% of ZrO2 reached a weight loss of 4.3 wt% especially at low temperature, 130 °C. It should be caused by the evaporation of the entrapped organic solvent in ZrO2 agglomerates and/or the unreacted monomer because of the low final conversion. The initial decomposition temperature ( $T_d$ 1 or  $T_d$ 2), defined as the temperature with 5 wt% of weight loss or the tangential temperature, and the main peak temperature  $(T_d3)$ from differential TGA curves for all samples are summarized in Table 1. Except for the sample containing 10 wt%,

Table 1 Summaries of TGA results

ZrO <sub>2</sub> content, wt%	$T_{\rm d}$ 1, <sup>a</sup> °C	$T_{\rm d}2$ , <sup>a</sup> °C	$T_{\rm d}$ 3, <sup>a</sup> °C	Residue, <sup>b</sup> %
0	244	284	343	0
5	257	270, 367 <sup>c</sup>	402	4.68
10	229	367	397	9.66
20	304	361	400	18.9
25	183	277	356	24.5

<sup>a</sup>  $T_{d}1$ ,  $T_{d}2$ , and  $T_{d}3$  are the temperature at 5% of weight loss, the tangential temperature, and the main peak temperature from differential TGA curves, respectively

<sup>b</sup> The remained weight at 700 °C

 $^{\rm c}$  The initial decomposition temperature of the second weight loss stage

 $T_d$ 1 increases as the ZrO<sub>2</sub> content increases at ZrO<sub>2</sub> content below 20 wt%. The nanocomposite with 25 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub> has a lower  $T_d$ 1 but a similar  $T_d$ 2 and  $T_d$ 3 in comparison with pure PUA film. All other three nanocomposites possess nearly the same  $T_d$ 2 (the second weight loss stage for the nanocomposite with 5 wt% ZrO<sub>2</sub>) and  $T_d$ 3, both of them are considerably higher than that of pure PUA sample. These data clearly show that MPS-functionalized ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles can significantly enhance the thermal stability of PUA film. However, their efficient cross-linking with monomers is necessary. Additionally, the  $T_d$ 2 data disclose that 10 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles is already enough to restrict all organic segments.

The TGA curves also reveal the actual  $ZrO_2$  content in the nanocomposite from the residues. As expected, the residue of the nanocomposite films increases as the amount of  $ZrO_2$  nanoparticles embedded increases. The actual content of  $ZrO_2$  component is a little lower than the quantity of the residues as shown in Table 1, because silica component (about 1.9% of the residues) resulted from the attached MPS segments is included in the residues. Therefore, the real  $ZrO_2$  content in the nanocomposite is apparently less than the theoretical value, this could be attributed to the inherently adsorbed organic component that was counted as  $ZrO_2$  component in the formulation of UV-curable coatings.

### Conclusions

A series of completely transparent UV-cured PUA/ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposites were successfully prepared via simple blending with highly dispersible 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate-functionalized ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. Critical ZrO<sub>2</sub> load, depending on the molar ratio of MPS/ZrO<sub>2</sub>, was clearly shown for the transparency of the nanocomposite coatings. For the cases using 0.20:1 of MPS-to-ZrO<sub>2</sub> molar ratio, homogeneous dispersion of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in PUA matrix was observed at ZrO<sub>2</sub> content below 20 wt%. As a consequence, the nanocomposites exhibit increases in final C=C double bond conversion, refractive index, hardness, modulus, and thermal stability as ZrO<sub>2</sub> content increases. Particularly, the nanocomposite with 20 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub> has extremely high performances, namely, a high refractive index of 1.625, 82% of increment on hardness and about 60 °C higher thermal decomposition temperature relative to pure PUA film. However, at 25 wt% of ZrO<sub>2</sub>, ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles tend to agglomerate in the nanocomposite, leading to serious reduction of the transparency and thus lower final conversion, nearly no improvement on mechanical properties and thermal stability in comparison with pure PUA coating. The homogeneous dispersion of nanoparticles is extremely paramount to get the UV-cured nanocomposite with high performances since it impacts not only the interaction between nanoparticles and polymer matrix, but also the final conversion of the nanocomposite. In addition, this study combining with our previous publication [15] suggests that the highest ZrO<sub>2</sub> concentration for transparent UV-cured nanocomposite can be raised by changing the composition of organic precursors.

Acknowledgements We are grateful for the financial support from the New Century Excellent Talent Foundation of the Ministry of Education of China (NCET-07-0210), National Nature Science Foundation (No. 50703005) of China and Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (No. B113).

### References

- Balazs AC, Emrick T, Russell TP (2006) Science 314:1107. doi: 10.1126/science.1130557
- Sangermano M, Priola A, Kortaberria G, Jimeno A, Garcia I, Mondragon I, Rizza G (2007) Macromol Mater Eng 292:956. doi: 10.1002/mame.200700093
- Okada A, Usuki A (2006) Macromol Mater Eng 291(12):1449. doi:10.1002/mame.200600260
- Zhou SX, Wu LM, Shen WD, Gu GX (2004) J Mater Sci 39:1593. doi:10.1023/B:JMSC.0000016157.19241.57
- Zhou SX, Wu LM, Sun J, Shen WD (2002) Prog Org Coat 45:33. doi:10.1016/s0300-9440(02)00085-1
- Bauer F, Sauerland V, Gläsel HJ, Erns HT, Findeisen M, Hartmann E, Langguth H, Marquardt B, Mehnert R (2002) Macromol Mater Eng 287:546
- Allen NS, Edge M, Ortega A, Sandoval G, Liauw CM, Verran J, Stratton J, McIntyre RB (2004) Polym Degrad Stabil 85:927. doi: 10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2003.09.024
- Brickweg LJ, Floryancic BR, Sapper ED, Fernando RH (2007) J Coat Tech 4:107. doi:10.1007/s11998-007-9002-7
- Xu T, Xie CS (2003) Prog Org Coat 46:297. doi:10.1016/ s0300-9440(03)00016-x
- Yin YJ, Zhou SX, Gu GX, Wu LM (2007) J Mater Sci 42:5959. doi:10.1007/s10853-006-1133-1
- Zhou HW, Ma J, Li ZC, Chen CH (2005) Chem J Chin Univ 26:1582. doi:cnki:issn:0251-0790.02005-08-049
- Lee S, Shin HJ, Yoon SM, Yi DK, Choi JY, Paik U (2008) J Mater Chem 18:1751. doi:10.1039/b715338d
- Garnweitner G, Goldenberg LM, Sakhno OV, Antonietti M, Niederberger M, Stumpe J (2007) Small 3:1626. doi: 10.1002/smll.200700075
- Zhou SX, Garnweitner G, Niederberge M, Antonietti M (2007) Langmuir 23:9178. doi:10.1021/la7000837u
- Zhou SX, Wu LM (2008) Macromol Chem Phys 209(11):1170. doi:10.1002/macp.200800090
- Garnweitner G, Niederberger M (2006) J Am Ceram Soc 89:1801. doi:10.1111/j.1551-2916.2006.01005.x
- 17. Luo KQ, Zhou SX, Wu LM, Gu GX (2008) Langmuir 24(20):11497. doi:10.1021/ia801943n
- Li FS, Zhou SX, You B, Wu LM (2006) J Appl Polym Sci 99(4):1429. doi:10.1002/app.22629
- Kwame OA, Guymon CA (2008) Polymer 49(11):2636. doi: 10.1016/j.polymer.2008.03.045
- Cho JD, Ju HT, Hong JW (2005) J Polym Sci Part A Polym Chem 43:658. doi:10.1002/pola.20529
- 21. Sangermano M, Voit B, Sordo F, Eichhorn KJ, Rizza G (2008) Polymer 49(8):2018. doi:10.1016/j.polymer.2008.03.010